6.15 A4 (Rev. 3.00)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover shee by law, racept as provided use of the Clerk of Coun (DY LOCKI MILES OF COURT.	This local, approved	dhy the Judi	cial Contenence of i	the United States is Septem	ulur 1014 id	ers as rec required f	Joured for the
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Marisol Valente 3330 Las Vegas Boulevard, Apt 1031 Las Vegas, Nevada 89115 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plainte (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) DEFENDANTS Harbison Corporation d/b/a Pretium 6081 Hamilton Boulevard Easton, Pennsylvania 18042 County of Residence of First Listed Northampton (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				iampton				
<u> </u>	<u></u>		i		D CONDEMNATION CASES, US INVOLVED		ю н оя тні	E
(f) Anomer's (Firm Nu Hababa & Kounous 20 East Broad Stre Bethlehem, PA 180 610 865-2608	et D18	: Number)		Attorneys (If Kn))\m)			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Phoras X	' le () de Bot (Jaly)	lil Citt (For De	ZENSHIP OF P venity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Puce 40 "X " (8 400 Oes Box	het Bas dar Far De fende	Planent!
☐ 1 U.S. Government Pleansiff	TS Footral Question (U.S. Committee	incis files a Party)	Cruzer	of This State 🔲	of Hubbacks #		□ 4	5 [}
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Cite: in Hern III)	umship of Part us	Citages	of Another State 🗇	2 D2 Incorporated one of Business &		ne 🗆 5	₽ 3
		<u> </u>	I	or Subject of a In Country	3 🖂 3 Foreign Nation		D 6	□6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	 -	One Box Only) DR≭≶	Tobe	FITTIDE/FENALTY	BANKHUPTCY			
D 110 Injurance 170 Ma ries 170 Ma ries 171 Mailes Act 170 Mailes Mailes Act 170 Mailes Mailes Mailes 170 Mailes 170 Mailes Mailes 170 Mai	Signers (1) 1) Sederal Employers Lability (1) 340 Marrian (2) 340 Marrian	PRISONER PETITE 12 10 Met has to vacp Reserved 13 0 General 13 10 Cent Repty 240 Count Repty 0 551 Prison Candidous	C 216 C 726 C 726	Agraculture Other Food & Oreg. Drug Related Settors of Fugerary 21 USC Disput Laws 18.8. & Truck Astipa Riga. Occupational Sactor/Hunlab Other LABOR Fair Labor Sandalds Acc Labor/Migmt Retailous Labor/Migmt Retailous Acc Accidentify Disclarate Accidentify Empl. Ret. In. Sactiony Accidentify	422 Appeal 24 USC 124 42) Windows 23 USC 127 23 USC 127 240 USC 127 250 US	400 State 410 Asing 410	in Banking see Banking ier leifuwete Organisch e Service e Service e Galleng is 410 hers A ctu her Seeb Buss and Man Albettion and pas an an Pass Act pas an Internating of	Me) copyric de and de t filter f f c c
Original D 2 R	emoved from	-	Respen	nad w □ 5 (apami) ad	erred from r district V) D 6 Multidate Lingston		Appeal to District Magnetian Magnetian	T)
VI. CAUSE OF ACTA	Do sal sale parind conse	ie) Meinem neiem hartiile	·)	des elakment of courc.				
Title 42 Vit. REQUESTED IN	U.S.C. § 2000c	, et seq SIS A CLASS ACTIO	n DEM	AND 3	CHECK YES GIV	of classes de T		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.				JURY DEMAND		e de la composition della com	AX.
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) instructions);	$\frac{\epsilon}{\text{floc}} = \sqrt{\int_0^{\epsilon}$	W	1917	DOCKET NUMBER			
GATL -		SIGNATURE OF AT	TO RNEY DE	MECORD	<u></u> -		!	
TOP OFFICE CSL UNES	· 					. <u> </u>	L	
_	млое	Tablaing 188	<u> </u>		MAG 291	ուր <u> </u>		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ddress of Phintiff:	3330 Las Vegas Boulevard, Apt 1031	<u>, Las Vegas, Nevada 891</u>	<u> 15</u>	
	900 Conray Street, Easton, Pennsyl			.
sace of Acodent, Incide	ort or Transaction:(U			
		se Reverse Side For Addhonal Spa -	ce)	
loes this caseinvolve m RELATED CASE, IF	outidistrict Higation possibilities? FANY:	Y.	 ₅□ _{No} D;	
lase Number:	Judge	Date Termina &d:		_
ivil passe are accomed a	cleted when yes is exponented to any of the following qu	upatune.		
	property included in an earlier numbered suit pending	Υ.	es 🖸 No🂢	
 Does this case involved: action in this court? 	e the same issue of fact or growout of the same yang	laction as 8 Prior suit pending or will	hin one year praviously let	gņing
		Y	os □ No⊠	
Does this case involv	g the validity or infangament of a patent aiready in suit	l or any earlier numbered case pend	ing or within one year pre	ious
terminaled action in th	AS COUNTY	v	es□ No⊡	
		·	620 MGD	
IVIL: (Place 🏏 lo ONI	ECATEGORY ONLY)			
Federal Cussion Ce	the.	B. Diversity Junsiliction	on Cases:	
	sci. Watine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. 🖸 Insurança Con	wacı and Other Conrects	1
2. D FELA		2 🔲 Airplane Perso	nal Injury	
3. 🚨 Jones Act-Perso	nai injury	3. 🗖 Asseult, Defen	sation	
t. D Antitrust		4. D Manne Person	el Injury	
, D Palanii		5. D Motor Vehicle i	Personal Injury	
S. D Labor-Managem	ent Relations	6. 🔲 Other Personal	Injury (Please specify)	
7. Ct Civil Rights		7. 🗖 Products Lishii	lity	
J. D. Habeas Corpus		8. 🖸 Products Lisbii	ity — Asbestos	
), 🛘 Securities Act(a)	Cases	8. 🚨 Ali other Drveh	inty Cases	L
io. 🚨 Social Security f	terion Gases	(Please specify	7	1
11. D Ali other Federa (Please concitu)	Question Cases			
(Please specify)	ARBITRATION CE (Check *pproprie			
Nancy S.	COURSEI OF RECOR	d do hereby certify;		
exceed the sum	ad Crni Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of a of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and coate;	my knowle∂ge and belief, the damag	jes recoverable in this civi	ÇÜÇ
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ية به المناطقة monetary damages is sought. . المناطقة المناطقة monetary damages is sought.	3/1.10	5-145	
mate: <u> </u>		(MAN)	55145	
NOTE: A	Attorney trial de novo will be a trial by jury only i		Attorney I.D	
CEITHY MAL TO MYKNOW	ledge, the within case is not retailed to any case no	w pending <u>Of Within o</u> ne year previ	iouslyterminated action	le th
xcept as nuted above,		ero. I.		
xcept as nuted above. ATE: 6-5-0	L WOON	4K.A!\	55145	

the property of the

APPENDIX C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

<u>UASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM</u>

	- 1
W	-
μαρμικών εΫλορως ΑΤΙών	-
HARBISON CÒRPORATION. HARBISON CORPORATION AMA PRETTI	ŔΔ

MARISOL VALENTE

CIVIL ACTION

NO.

PACKAGING, PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC; EDWARD WEISS and CHRIS COMPTON In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

C(v. 660) 7/93	 .	Attorney for	
1		Plaintiff	_
		Attorney-at-law	
6-5-02		MALMINET Date	ė
	(f)	Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	(^X)
		tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()
	(e)	Special Management Cases that do not fall into	
	(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
	(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
	(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
	(3)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	(-)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARISOL VALENTE, : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff

No.

v.

:

HARBISON CORPORATION,

HARBISON CORPORATION d/b/a PRETIUM

PACKAGING, PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC,

EDWARD WEISS and CHRIS COMPTON : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This is an action for an award of damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorney s fees and other relief on behalf of Plaintiff, (hereinafter "Marisol Valente" or "Plaintiff"). Marisol Valente was an employee of Harbison Corporation and/or Harbison Corporation d/b/a Pretium Packaging, and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC (hereinafter collectively "Pretium Packaging") Easton, Pennsylvania, who has been harmed by the sex-based harassment, sex-based discrimination and retal atory practices as well as other improper conduct by Defendants.

This action is brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1991 ("Title VII"), as amended, Title 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq., Title 43 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. § 951 et seq. and Pennsylvania common law

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The original jurisdiction and venue of this Court is invoked in this District pursuant to

- Title 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f), 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 1391, 2201, 2202, 1343 and the claim is substantively based on Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1991, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.
- 2. The Supplemental Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. \$367. to consider Plaintiff's claim arising under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Aet, t_3 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. § 951 ct seq., and under Pennsylvania common law.
- 3. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in that some or all of the events complained of herein occurred in Northampton County, Pennsylvania.
- 4. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled. As to the Title VII claims, Plaintiff Marisol Valente has invoked the procedure set forth in § 706 a) of Title VII and has received a Notice of Right to Sue as required by that statute On October 19, 2001, Marisol Valente filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC which was jointly filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission, against the Defendants, alleging, inter alia sexual harassment, gender based employment discrimination, maintenance of a sexually hostile work environment and retaliation. On March 8, 2002, a Notice of Right to Sue was issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. This action has been filed within ninety (90) days of receipt of said Notice.

III. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff, Marisol Valente, is an adult female citizen and resident of the State of Nevada, residing at 3330 Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada. At all times pertinent hereto, Plaintiff was a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at 628 Center

- 6. Defendant, Harbison Corporation, (hereinafter "Harbison") is a Missouri corporation authorized to conduct and in the practice of conducting business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with its registered offices at 7700 Bonhomme, St. Louis, Missouri and CT Corporation System, 1635 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 7. Defendant, Harbison Corporation d/b/a Pretium Packaging (hereinafter "Pretium Packaging") is a Missouri corporation authorized to conduct and in the practice of conducting business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with its registered offices at 900 Courcey Street, Easton, Pennsylvania 18042.
- 8. Defendant, Pretium Packaging, LLC (hereinafter "Pretium Packaging") is a Delaware corporation authorized to conduct and in the practice of conducting business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with its registered offices at CT Corporation System, 1635 Market Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 18042.
- Hereinafter all Defendants are collectively referred to as "Pretium Packaging."
- 10. Defendant, Edward Weiss, (hereinafter "Weiss") is a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. At all times relevant to this action Weiss was the Plant Manager and District Manager for Defendant Pretium Packaging. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Weiss was an agent, servant, workman and/or employee of Defendant Pretium Packaging, acting and or failing to act within the scope, course and authority of his employment and his employer, Pretium Packaging. At all times relevant, Weiss was acting in his supervisory and personal capacity.

- 11. Defendant, Chris Compton, (hereinafter "Compton") is a resident and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. At all times relevant to this action Compton was a Supervisor and Group Leader for Defendant Pretium Packaging. At all times rejevant hereto, Defendant Compton was an agent, servant, workman and/or employee of Defendant Pretium Packaging, acting and or failing to act within the scope, course and authority of his employment and his employer, Pretium Packaging. At all times relevant, Compton was acting in his capacity as an employee of Defendant Pretium Packaging and his personal capacity,
- 12. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Pretium Packaging has been a "person" or "employer" as defined under Title VII, and is subject to the provisions of the said Act.
- 13. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging LLC owned, operated, controlled and managed the Easton facility of Defendant Pretium Packaging by and through the conduct of its officers, managers agents and employees, all acting \mathbf{v} ithin the scope and course of their employment.
- 14. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Harbison and/or Protium Packaging LLC or ned, operated, controlled and managed the Easton facility where Plaintiff and Defendants Weiss and Compton were employed.
- 15. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Pretium Packaging acted by and/or failed to act by and through the conduct of its officers, managers, agents and employees, all acting within the scope and course of their employment.
- 16. Defendant Fielium Packaging has, acting through its agents, servants and representatives, on more than one occasion, met with Plaintiff, and has heard allegations

from Plaintiff of sexual harassment, gender discrimination and physical assaults

17. At all relevant times herein, Defendant Pretium Packaging knew, or had reason to know, of the actions and inaction alleged herein and/or has personally participated in some of said actions and is ultimately responsible for same.

IV. CAUSES OF ACTION

- 18. Marisol Valente was a female employee hired by Defendant Pretium Packaging on March 5, 2000 as a packer at Defendant's facility located at 900 Conroy Place, Easton, Pennsylvania,
- 19. At all relevant times hereto, Plaintiff was assigned to work, along with Defendants Weiss and Compton at the Easton, Pennsylvania location.
- 20. At all relevant times hereto, Plaintiff worked the "D" shift, from 7:00 P.M. through 7:00 A.M.
- 21. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff maintained exemplary work habits and job performance and had at all times fulfilled all of the duties and obligations commenturate with that employment
- 22. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Weiss was a male and was the Plant Manager and District Manager for Defendant Pretium Packaging.
- 23. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Compton was a male and was a Group Lead r and Supervisor for Defendant Pretium Packaging.
- 24. From on or about June 2000 through on or about April 25, 2001, when Plaintiff was forced to resign her position, Defendant Weiss continuously sexually and velbally harassed and physically assaulted Marisol Valente, including, but not limited to the

following:

- a) In or about June 2000, Plaintiff requested a meeting with Weiss, seeking Weiss' help in dealing with a problematic co-worker named Patty Schoolhouse (herei hafter "Schoolhouse"). Plaintiff had gone to Defendant Compton for help but he d d not Several times during the aforementioned meeting, Defendant Weiss removed his shoc and moved his foot up and down Marisol Valente's leg.
- During the aforementioned meeting, and despite Marisol Valente's protestations, Weiss used sexually offensive, degrading and unsolicited language directed at Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, "You've got a very nice body;" "You're got kids, right;" "You've got a terrific shape;" and asking Plaintiff to "go for coffde."
- During the aforementioned meeting, and in response to the degrading and unsolicited sexual comments directed at her by Defendant Weiss, Marisol Valente stated, "I am married. I'm not interested" and Weiss replied, "I'm married too. So what?"
- d) When Plaintiff attempted to leave the meeting, Defendant Weise pleaded with ner to stay and stated, "I'll take care of this matter with Patty."
- Defendant Weiss also told Plaintiff, "Please don't quit. I've heard you're a good worker. I'll talk to Compton about it."
- Following the aforementioned meeting, Defendant Weiss began a campaign of stalking and constant sexual harassment and retaliation against Plaintiff;
- g) When Weiss arrived at work early, he would wait for Plaintiff after meetings in order to approach her and make improper suggestions and propositions. Plaintiff became

- so fearful of Defendant Weiss that she frequently attempted to hide from him
- h) Weiss constantly tried to get Plaintiff into close quarters and then would rub his body against hers;
- Weiss stood so close to Plaintiff when he spoke to her, that she could feel his breath on her;
- j) Weiss continued to ask Plaintiff to go out "for a drink" and when she said "No," am married" Weiss told her to "think about it;"
- k) On one occasion, Defendant made Plaintiff so nervous, she dropped a tray of boxes.

 When Plaintiff told Weiss that he made her nervous, he replied, "In a good way?"
- On one occasion, when Weiss waited for Plaintiff to finish her shift, he said, "You look tired" and "I would like to see you naked."
- m) On at least four or five occasions, Defendant Weiss rubbed his shoulders against Plaintiff;
- n) Defendant Weiss physically assaulted Marisol Valente by grabbing her breast
- On or about April 25, 2001, Defendant Weiss startled Plaintiff by coming up behind her, cornering her and questioning her as to why she looked so tired and why she was working two jobs;
- p) Defendant Weiss then asked Plaintiff to come up to his office because he "really needed to see" her. Thinking that it was because of her work, Plaintiff went to Weiss' office, where he directed her to sit down. Weiss then proceeded to que tion Plaintiff's intent to move out West with her husband and suggest to her that she become a nude dancer. Weiss then stated that he "would love to see (Plaintiff) dance

unde for (him)" as he assaulted her again by rubbing his feet up and down her legs. Marisol Valente, in fear for her safety, jumped up from her seat and attempted to run out of Weiss' office at which time Weiss followed her, grabbing her breast as he cornered her and blocked her exit. Plaintiff saw that Weiss was smiling. Weiss followed her halfway out the door where she was finally able to break away from him. and run out of the building to her car. It took her several minutes to stop shaking and crying and calm herself so that she could drive her car.

- Except for the attacks on Plaintiff in his office, much of the aforementioned as aults and harassment was done in front of other employees of Defendant Pretium Packaging;
- Defendant Pretium Packaging did not discipline Defendant Weiss for any of the aforementioned behavior.
- 25. From on or about June 2000 through on or about April 25, 2001, when Plaint if was forced to resign her position, Defendant Compton was a frequent witness to the exual harassment to which Plaintiff was subjected by Weiss.
- 26. Despite Plaintiff's repeated protests as to Defendant Weiss' sexual harassment and assaultive behavior toward Plaintiff, Defendant's unwelcome and offensive bellavior continued.
- 27. Defendant Weiss continuously, purposely, and intentionally physically threa ened, abused and harassed Maricol Valente, in the presence and/or with the knowledge of Compton and other employees, including, Doyle Winger and another employee ramed Freddie but not limited to the following:

- Defendant Weiss, without provocation or invitation by Plaintiff, physically a saulted a) Marisol Valente by grabbing her breasts and rubbing his feet down her legs;
- Defendant Weiss would frequently wink at Plaintiff in front of other employees;
- When Plaintiff told Defendant Weiss that she did not like him calling her the aforementioned names and using the aforementioned language, he laughed at her;
- Defendant Weiss would frequently come up from behind Plaintiff and frighten her;
- Defendant Weiss would wait for Plaintiff before and after her shift;
- Even after Defendant Weiss was promoted to District Manager he would stalk Plaintiff at her place of work;
- g) Defendant Weiss' unwelcome sexual comments and threats escalated over time, causing her to fear for her personal safety and reputation.
- 28. Defendants Weiss' sexually harassing conduct toward Marisol Valente was so open, notorious and outrageous that it was known to employees, staff, managers and other representatives of Defendant Pretium Packaging. As such, Defendant Pretium Packaging knew or should have known of Defendants Weiss' sexually harassing conduct.
- 29. Plaintiff also repeatedly advised Weiss and Compton that their conduct was inappropriate.
- 30. Defendant Pretium Packaging did not promulgate or maintain an effective sexual harassment policy which was accessible to employees of Defendant.
- 31. Defendant Pretium Packaging and/or Defendant Compton failed and refused to take any action proper, appropriate or sufficient to terminate or redress the continuing sexual harassment and assault levied against Plaintiff,

- 32. Despite Marisol Valente's complaints, Defendant Pretium Packaging and/or Deferdant Compton failed to take prompt, appropriate remedial action which would be reasonably calculated to redress and correct the illegal behavior of its employees.
- 33. After Marisol Valente resisted the barassment by Weiss, the sexual harassment and sexually assaultive conduct continued and intensified.
- 34. Subsequent to the reporting of the harassing conduct, Defendants Weiss engaged in a continuous course of retaliation against Plaintiff for complaining of sexual harassment. This retaliation occurred in the context of Weiss' continued employment and continued until Plaintiff was forced to resign on or about April 25, 2001. The retaliation included, but was not limited to, the following:
 - a) Weiss retaliated against Plaintiff by adverse treatment of her;
 - b) Weiss made derogatory, demeaning and untrue remarks about Plaintiff to other people including employees, personnel and staff of Defendant Pretium Pack ging many of whom Plaintiff had or has contact with on a regular basis and many of whom she had to work with, report to or manage;
 - c) In response to Plaintiff's complaints and Defendant Pretium Packaging's knowledge of Weiss' conduct, Defendant Pretium Packaging did nothing to reprimand Weiss;
- 35. The derogatory statements about Plaintiff were made by Defendant Weiss at a time when he spoke with the apparent authority of Defendant Pretium Packaging. As a direct esult of Weiss' derogatory comments about Marisol Valente with the authority of Protium Packaging, other employees, personnel and staff repeated Defendant's derogatory comments and many employees, personnel and staff, including friends and

- acquaintances, did not want to associate, work or talk with Marisol Valente and sle was shunned at her place of employment and placed in fear for her safety.
- 36. Defendant's derogatory comments about Marisol Valente and his openly har ssing behavior toward her, led to widespread discussion and rumor concerning Plaintiff at Pretium Packaging.
- 37. Defendant Pretium Packaging retaliated against Plaintiff and failed to promptly and effectively address Plaintiff's complaints concerning Defendant Weiss' harassment, sexual assault, retaliation and derogatory comments in violation of its own policy and procedures. Defendant Pretium Packaging's retaliation and failure to respond quickly and effectively is illustrated by, but not limited to, the following facts:
 - a) Despite Defendant Pretium Packaging's knowledge of Weiss' conduct and Marisol Valente's complaints, the aforementioned Defendant remained employed by Defendant Pretium Packaging;
 - b) Despite Defendant Pretium Packaging's knowledge of Weiss' conduct and Plaintiff's complaints, Defendant Pretium Packaging failed to investigate Plaintiff's complaints;
 - Witnesses to Weiss' conduct were not interviewed;
 - Despite the aforementioned, Defendant Pretium Packaging ignored and/or minimized the significance and severity of said conduct;
 - r) Defendant Pretium Packaging took no action to require Weise to cease here retaliatory activities and, in fact, he continued to retaliate and make detogatory comments about Plaintiff as well as continue in his position with Defendant Projum.

Packaging;

Defendant Pretium Packaging bad actual and formal notice of Weiss' capacity for unwelcome sexual and assaultive conduct and sexual harassment:

Document 1

- Despite being aware of Weiss' conduct, constituting and consisting of exual barassment, he remained employed with all of the actual and apparent authority. over personnel and employees associated with that position:
- h) Weiss also continued to indirectly supervise activities of Plaintiff allowing him the ability to continue to retaliate and make derogatory statements concerning Plaintiff;
- Even though Weiss' actions constituted sexual harassment, he was not disciplined i) for that conduct:
- Defendant Pretium Packaging never acted to correct the assaults, dero atory comments or retaliation that Plaintiff suffered from, nor did it take any steps to mitigate or alleviate the damage that Marisol Valente suffered to her reputation as a Pretium Packaging employee and /or person. Indeed, Pretium Packaging's fail are to act intensified the damage to Plaintiff's reputation and Defendant, Pretium Packaging's continued employment of Weiss gave its apparent authority and in plicit approval and as such constituted retaliation on the part of Defendant Pretium Packaging, as well;
- k) Defendant Pretium Packaging created or permitted to exist a hostile work environment within which Marisol Valente was asked to function.
- 38. Marisol Valente believes, and therefore avers, that Defendants created and/or permitted an intolerable and hostile work environment designed to interfere with her employment

and to victimize her because she reported the illegal actions of Defendants by, but not limited to, the following:

- a) Creating and fostering a work environment that was so openly hostile toward
 Plaintiff that she was fearful for her personal safety; and
- b) Creating and fostering a work environment that was so openly hostile toward. Plaintiff that when she complained about the assaults and sexual harassment, she was forced to resign her employment with Defendant Pretium Packaging.
- 39. Defendant, Pretium Packaging, was responsible and liable for the conduct of its employees for subjecting Marisol Valente to a sexually harassing and assaultive employment and work environment and for failing to protect Plaintiff from Defendante' unlawful conduct.
- 40. As a direct result of the Individual Defendants and Defendant Pretium Packaring's hostile and antagonistic conduct, Marisol Valente was deprived of her employment and ultimately forced to resign her employment with Defendant Pretium Packaging.
- 41 As a direct result of Individual Defendants' and Defendant Pretium Packaging's corduct,

 Marisol Valente has been irrevocably damaged.
- 42. As a direct result of Individual Defendants' and Defendant Pretium Packaging's corduct,

 Marisol Valente has suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional, psychological and
 physical distress.
- 43 As a direct result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff's career, professional and job opportunities has been impaired and damaged and she has suffered a loss of ear sings and earning capacity.

44. At all times material hereto, Defendants Weiss and Compton, through their actions and inactions, aided and abetted the discriminatory conduct of Defendant Pretium Packaging.

COUNT I MARISOL VALENTE

DEFENDANTS HARBSION and PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 AND 1991

- 45. Paragraphs 1 through 44, inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 46. Based on the foregoing, the Defendants have engaged in unlawful practices in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1991, as amended, and 42 U.S.C. § 2000 (e), et seq. The said unlawful practices for which Defendants are liable to Marisol V lente include, but are not limited to, fostering and perpetuating a hostile and offensive work environment, retaliating against her because of her expressed opposition to offensive sexually related conduct in the work place, subjecting her to more onerous working conditions, and treating her in a disparate manner.
- 47. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by Defendants in violation of Title 42 U.S.C. § 2000 (e), et seq., Plaintiff sustained loss of earnings, severe emotional and psychological distress, loss of self-esteem, loss of future earning power, plus back pay, front pay and interest due thereon.

COUNT II MARISOL VALENTE v.

ALL DEFENDANTS 43 Pa.C.S.A.§951, et seq.

- 48. Paragraphs 1 through 47 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 49. The unlawful actions of Defendants acting as aforesaid, constitutes a violation of litle 43

 Pa. Stat. Ann. § 951 et seq. of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. The said unlawful practices for which Defendants are liable to Plaintiff include, but are not limited to, fostering and perpetuating a hostile and offensive work environment, retaliating against Plaintiff because of their expressed opposition to offensive sexually related conduct in the work place, subjecting Plaintiff to more onerous working conditions, and treating Plaintiff in a disparate manner.
- 50. As a direct result of the Defendants' willful and unlawful actions in treating Plair tiff in a discriminatory manner solely because of her sex, in violation of Title 43 Pa. Stat Ann. § 951 At seq of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, Plaintiff has sustained severe emotional distress, loss of earnings, plus the failure of the aforementioned benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, front pay and interest due thereon

COUNT III MARISOL VALENTE

υ

DEFENDANTS HARBISON and PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC RETALIATION UNDER TITLE VII

- 51. Paragraphs 1 through 50 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set for that length herein.
- 52. By the acts complained of, Defendants have retaliated against Plaintiff for exercising her rights under Title VII in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1911, as amended, and 42 U.S.C. §2000 (e) et seq. in violation of these acts.
- 53. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendants' retaliatory practices unless and until this Court grants relief.

COUNT IV MARISOL VALENTE

ν,

ALL DEFENDANTS RETALIATION UNDER THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 54. Paragraphs 1 through 53 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set for that length herein.
- 55. By the acts complained of, Defendants have retaliated against Plaintiff for exercising her rights under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act in violation of said act.
- 56. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendants' retaliatory practices unless and until this Court grants relief.

COUNT V MARISOL VALENTE

DEFENDANTS HARBISON and PRETIUM PACKACING, LLC NEGLIGENCE UNDER TITLE VII

- 57. Paragraphs 1 through 56 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set orth at length berein.
- 58. Defendants Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC were negligent in the following respects;
 - Failure to implement an effective, well-known and un formly a. enforced policy against sexual harassment;
 - Failure to properly investigate Plaintiff's complaints of sexual b. harassment;
 - Failure to take any action reasonably calculated to remedy Plaintiff's Ċ. complaints of sexual harassment;
 - đ Failure to impose any discipline upon their employees who had engaged in sexual harassment,
 - Failure to take reasonable actions to discover illegal discrimination by e. their employees;
 - Failure to take reasonable actions to prevent illegal discrimination, f. including but not limited to sexual harassment, from taking place.
- 59. Defendants owed Plaintiff a duty in all of the respects set forth in paragraph 48, but failed to perform each of those duties as set forth above.
- 60. As a direct, reasonable and foreseeable result of the negligence of Defendants, Paintiff suffered the injuries and damages set forth above.

COUNT VI MARISOL VALENTE

DEFENDANTS HARBISON, PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC and WEISS FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- 61 Paragraphs 1 through 60 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 62. The conduct of Defendant Weiss, with the condonation and ratification by Defendants
 Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC, as above-stated, resulted directly and
 indirectly in the confinement of Plaintiff Marisol Valente.
- 63 At all times, Defendant Woise acted with the intent to confine Plaintiff within the boundaries of his office during working hours in order to physically and sexually assault and harass Plaintiff.
- 64. The acts of Defendant Weiss as above-stated directly and indirectly resulted in the confinement of Plaintiff.
- At all times relevant hereto, and during the periode of her confinement by Defe ident Weiss, Plaintiff was aware and conscious of the confinement and was under great emotional distress, fear of sexual assault and other physical assault during the above-stated period of confinement.

COUNT VI MARISOL VALENTE

DEFENDANTS HARBISON, PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC and WEISS ASSAULT

- 66. Paragraphs 1 through 65 inclusive are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 67. The conduct of Defendant Weiss proximately caused Plaintiff reasonable apprehention of

an imminent battery.

- 68. Defendant Weiss had the apparent present opportunity to commit a battery.
- 69. The aforementioned, with the condonation and ratification by Defendants Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC constituted an assault under the law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

COUNT VII MARISOL VALENTE

ν.

DEFENDANTS HARBISON, PRETIUM PACKAGING, LLC and WEISS BATTERY

- 70. Paragraphs 1 through 69 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 71. The offensive and unwanted touching of Marisol Valente by Defendant Weiss with the condonation and ratification by Defendants Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC constituted a battery under the law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

COUNT VIII MARISOL VALENTE

DEFENDANT WEISS INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 72. Paragraphs 1 through 71 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 73. The actions of Defendant in engaging in the aforementioned unwelcome sexually offensive and assaultive behavior and conduct created a work environment which was hostile and intentionally caused Plaintiff to suffer severe emotional distress.
- 74. The actions of Defendant as aforesaid, were deliberate, reckless, malicious and

- outrageous and thus constituted an intentional affliction of severe emotional discress.
- 75. The above-stated conduct of Defendant constitutes extreme and outrageous conduct.
- 76. As a direct result of Defendant's intentional, reckless, extreme, and outrageous conduct. Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional distress, humiliation, loss of self esteem, pain and suffering, loss of wages, including back pay and front pay, plus interest due therebu.

STATEMENT OF FACTS JUSTIFYING THE IMPOSITION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 77. Paragraphs 1 through 76 inclusive, are incorporated by reference as if fully set for that length herein.
- 78. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC knew or should have known of the pattern of conduct in which Weiss and Compton had engaged and in which they continued to engage.
- 79. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLC knew or should have known that the aforesaid pattern of conduct was in violation of the law.
- 80. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants Harbison and/or Pretium Packaging, LLCacted willfully, wantonly, recklessly and with an outrageous disregard and indifference to the rights, safety and well being of the Plaintiff.
- 81. Plaintiff therefore demands punitive damages under Title VII.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 82. Plaintiff repeats the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 81 of this Complaint as if set forth at length herein.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court to enter judgment in her favor and against

Defendants and requests that this Court:

- a. Exercise jurisdiction over her claims;
- b. Award traditional tort remedies such as compensatory damages, pain and suffering, physical and emotional distress, economic loss, time loss, severe emotional trauma, including, but not limited to, trauma endured in litigating this matter, and publicle damages;
- Issue declaratory and injunctive relief declaring the above-described practices to be unlawful, and enjoining their past and continued effects;
- d. Order Defendants compensate Plaintiff with a rate of pay and other benefit; and emoluments to employment, to which she would have been outitled, had the not been subject to unlawful discrimination;
- c. Order Defendants compensate Plaintiff with an award of front pay, if appropriate;
- f. Order Defendants compensate Plaintiff for the wages and other benefits and emoluments of employment lost, because of their unlawful conducts:
- g. Order Defendants pay to Plaintiff compensatory damages for future pecuniary lesses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of employment of life and other non-pecuniary losses as allowable;
- Reinstate Plaintiff to her former position together with back pay and compensatory damages;
- Order Defendants pay to Plaintiff pre and post judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law;
- j. The Court award such other relief as is deemed just and proper.

IURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by jury.

HAHALIŞ & KOUNOUPIS, P.C.

David L. Deratzian, Esquire 20 East Broad Street Bethlehem, PA 18018 (610) 865-2608 Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Marisol Valente

Dated: <u>June 5, 2002</u>